

1 2	Deborah A. Sivas (CA Bar No. 135446) Matthew J. Sanders (CA Bar No. 222757) ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CLINIC	
3	Mills Legal Clinic at Stanford Law School 559 Nathan Abbott Way Stanford, California 94305-8610	
4	Telephone: (650) 723-0325 Facsimile: (650) 723-4426	
5	Email: dsivas@stanford.edu Email: matthewjsanders@stanford.edu	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff Unite the Parks	
7 8	René P. Voss (CA Bar No. 255758) NATURAL RESOURCES LAW	
9	15 Alderney Road San Anselmo, CA 94960	
10	Phone: (415) 446-9027 Email: renepvoss@gmail.com	
11	Attorney for Plaintiffs Sequoia ForestKeeper and Earth Island Institute	
12	and Darm Island Institute	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	FRESNO DIVISION	
16		
17 18	UNITE THE PARKS; SEQUOIA FORESTKEEPER; and EARTH ISLAND INSTITUTE,	Case No. 1:21-CV-00518-DAD-HBK
19	Plaintiffs,	PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION TO STAY CASE PENDING RESOLUTION
20	v.	OF PLAINTIFFS' APPEAL OF PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION ORDER; [PROPOSED] ORDER
21	UNITED STATES FOREST SERVICE, an agency of the U.S. Department of Agriculture;	[I KOI OSED] OKDEK
22	and UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, an agency of the U.S.	
23	Department of the Interior,	
24	Defendants.	
25		
26		
27		
28		

PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION TO STAY CASE PENDING RESOLUTION OF PLAINTIFFS' APPEAL OF PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION ORDER; [PROPOSED] ORDER

Case No. 1:21-CV-00518-DAD-HBK

UNOPPOSED MOTION

On May 28, 2021, this Court issued an order denying Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction, concluding that Plaintiffs are not likely to succeed on the merits of either their Endangered Species Act ("ESA") or National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA") claims. *See* ECF Doc No. 26. On June 29, 2021, Defendants filed a motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' NEPA claim in this Court and set that motion for hearing on August 17, 2021. *See* ECF Doc. No. 29. On July 23, 2021, Plaintiffs filed an appeal of the Court's order denying the motion for preliminary injunction, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)(1). *See* ECF Doc. No. 32. Consistent with Ninth Circuit Rule 3-3, the Court of Appeal issued an order on July 28, 2021 directing that Plaintiffs' opening brief on appeal is due no later than August 20, 2021 and Defendants' answering brief is due no later than September 17, 2021. *See* Ninth Circuit ECF Doc. No. 2.

In light of the pending appeal, Plaintiffs believe a stay of all existing deadlines in this case, including those related to Defendants' motion to dismiss, is warranted to promote efficiency for the Court and the litigants. *See, e.g.*, *Assoc. of Irritated Residents v. Fred Schakel Dairy*, 634 F. Supp.2d 1081, 1094 (E.D. Cal. 2008); *Morgan Stanley & Co. v. Couch*, Case No. 15-CV-1219-LJO-JLT, 2015 WL 7271717 (E.D. Cal. Nov. 15, 2015). "The power to stay is 'incidental to the power inherent in every court to control the disposition of the causes on its docket with economy of time and effort for itself, for counsel and for litigants." *Peck v. County of Orange*, Case No. CV-19-4654-DSF (AFMx), 2021 WL 1186337 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 26, 2021). Defendants have indicated that they do not oppose this motion.

Accordingly, Plaintiffs request that the Court grant Plaintiffs' unopposed motion and enter the Proposed Order set forth below.

 $_{23}$ $\|_{D}$

Dated: August 3, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CLINIC
Mills Legal Clinic at Stanford Law School

By: /s/Deborah Sivas
Deborah A. Sivas

Attorneys for UNITE THE PARKS

Case No. 1:21-CV-00518-DAD-HBK

Case 1:21-cv-00518-DAD-HBK Document 37 Filed 08/02/21 Page 3 of 3

1	NATURAL RESOURCES LAW		
2	By: <u>/s/ René P. Voss</u>		
3	René P. Voss		
4	Attorney for SEQUOIA FORESTKEEPER and EARTH ISLAND INSTITUTE		
5			
6	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
7	Good cause appearing therefor, the Court HEREBY ORDERS a stay of all proceeding in		
8	this case pending a decision from the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals regarding Plaintiffs' appeal		
9	of the Court's order denying Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction.		
10	Consistent with this order, the Court VACATES the August 17, 2021 hearing date for		
11	Defendants' motion to dismiss and all briefing dates associated with that motion and VACATES		
12	the mandatory scheduling conference set for October 14, 2021.		
13	The Court FURTHER ORDERS that the parties shall meet and confer and submit a joint		
14	scheduling report to the Court within 14 days after a decision by the Court of Appeals in		
15	Plaintiffs' pending appeal.		
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
17			
18	Dated: UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	2 Case No. 1:21-CV-00518-DAD-HBK		